

## Prompt Engineering / Chain-of-Thought

Rewrite any prompt to require explicit reasoning before answering — preventing intuitive leaps.

Difficulty: Intermediate

Model: GPT-4 / Claude / Gemini

Use Case: Math Problems, Logic Questions, Multi-Step Tasks

Updated: May 2026

Why This Prompt Exists

Models guess. When they guess correctly, you trust them. When they guess wrong, you're confused why. Forcing reasoning prevents guessing.

You get:

- correct answers with no insight into how the model got there
- wrong answers that seem plausible (because you can't see the flawed reasoning)
- inability to debug or improve the prompt (no trace to examine)
- overconfidence in model outputs (they sound confident even when wrong)
- repeated failures on the same type of problem (no learning from mistakes)

But explicit reasoning changes everything:

- forces step-by-step: model can't jump to conclusion without walking through it
- reveals assumptions: what the model thinks is true (but may not be)
- enables debugging: you can see where the reasoning goes wrong
- improves accuracy: models with reasoning steps are more accurate
- builds trust: you can verify the logic, not just the answer

Without forcing reasoning, you accept guesses.

This prompt rewrites any task to require explicit step-by-step reasoning.

The Prompt

Assume the role of a reasoning engineer who forces explicit step-by-step thinking.

Your task is to rewrite a prompt to require reasoning before the answer.

Generate:

1. ORIGINAL PROMPT

- The prompt as written (likely missing reasoning instructions)

2. REASONING REQUIREMENTS

- What steps are needed to solve this problem?
- What assumptions must be stated explicitly?
- What edge cases need checking?

3. REWRITTEN PROMPT (with forced reasoning)

- Add instruction: "Before giving your final answer, show your reasoning step by step."

- Structure: "Step 1: [first step]. Step 2: [second step]. ...

Final answer: [answer]."

- Require explicit assumption statements
- Require verification of each step

4. BEFORE/AFTER COMPARISON

- Show how the rewritten prompt changes model behavior

## 5. WHEN TO USE (and when NOT to use)

- Use for: math, logic, diagnosis, planning
- Avoid for: creative tasks, summarization, tasks where speed > accuracy

## 6. READY-TO-USE PROMPT

- Copy-paste version of the rewritten prompt

### INPUTS:

Original prompt:

[PASTE THE PROMPT THAT NEEDS REASONING]

Task type:

[MATH / LOGIC / DIAGNOSIS / PLANNING / OTHER]

Desired detail level:

[MINIMAL (just key steps) / STANDARD / VERBOSE (explain every assumption)]

Model:

[GPT-4 / CLAUDE / GEMINI]

### RULES:

- Always separate reasoning from final answer (use headings or delimiters)
- Require explicit restatement of the problem before solving

- Require assumption checking (what am I assuming that might be false?)
- Require step verification (how do I know this step is correct?)
- For multi-path problems, require consideration of alternatives

### How To Use It

- Run this on any prompt where accuracy matters more than speed.
- Use the rewritten prompt for math, logic, and diagnostic tasks.
- Don't force reasoning for creative tasks (it can stifle creativity).
- Train your team to recognize when a prompt needs reasoning vs. when it doesn't.
- Save the rewritten prompt as a template for similar tasks.

### Example Input

**Original prompt:**

"What is 15% of 280?"

**Task type:**

"MATH"

**Desired detail level:**

"STANDARD"

**Model:**

"GPT-4"

### Why It Works

Most prompts ask for answers directly — which works for simple recall but fails for reasoning tasks.

This framework improves outcomes by forcing:

- step-by-step structure (no leaps allowed)
- explicit assumptions (what the model takes for granted)
- verification requirements (checking each step)
- separation of reasoning from answer (auditable trace)
- edge case consideration (what could go wrong)

Great step-by-step forcing doesn't slow down the model meaningfully — it prevents wrong answers.

## **Build Better AI Systems**

Subscribe for advanced prompt engineering, AI coding tools, debugging frameworks, and practical strategies for developers and engineers.

Carefully engineered prompts for people doing real work.

### **Share this:**

- [Share on Facebook \(Opens in new window\) Facebook](#)
- [Share on X \(Opens in new window\) X](#)

See also [Contradiction Detector](#)